

energy and because of it, the glow around some objects is observed."

What I understand from Dr. Podkletnov is that gravity is the effect of spin -- the spin of all subjected particles, from the sub-atomic level and up being parallel; thus they are all aligned to fall into the gravity well of earth. And spinning objects, such as his superconducting discs, when influenced additionally by an electromagnetic field, will experience a shift in the spin of the sub-atomic and atomic elements. They will be turned and not be aligned in parallel. Thus, they are able to levitate.

But how to polarize the media and get things spinning? Enter Dr. Marcus Hollingshed, an enigmatic figure allegedly from Cambridge University. Dr. Hollingshed claims to have built a six-ringed toroidal coil antigravity device, which achieved great effect using rotating magnetic fields. In January 2003 he announced on the Internet that he has developed a 160kg vehicle able to lift in excess of 2000kg and that it has both horizontal and vertical drive features. His

device cannot only go up and down and sideways, but it can push things away and pull objects to it.

In addition, the field that the device purportedly generates is capable of being broadened and weakened, or narrowed and amplified in a lensing effect, with the field producing an absolute vacuum of 2.2m spherical diameter. Best of all, when it's cranked up the core of it goes invisible, although the term Dr. Hollingshed uses is that there is a "loss of reflected light." There are no reports of independent confirmation, and Nick Cook says he hasn't been invited to see it, so, he's skeptical.

Where does this leave us? Perhaps Dr. Podkletnov's words sum up our current situation. "Modern theoretical physics cannot give you the direct answer to your questions (levitation, torsion fields, etc.,) and a scientist who would agree to give you the answer cannot be regarded seriously, softly speaking. If you had asked Dr. Einstein if he were an expert on gravity, the answer would be NO. I can repeat his words: No, I am not a magician, yet; I am still learning."



Wayne Macleod, USA

Email: dynamars2100@yahoo.ca, cwleod@shaw.ca

Gravity is the incremental slowing of time as we move closer to its source. If we imagine panes of glass stacked on top each other, one pane slightly denser than the one immediately above, a ray of light coming from above will constantly refract in a curved beam downward as it enters the stack. The same is true of a ray of light entering a gravity field. The analogy is not too far fetched because refraction is caused by a slowing of light in the denser medium. Of course, gravity affects material objects as well as light, but this is explained by every object traveling on a 'world line' in

spacetime. We can use light as in the glass example because light is its own world line.

Why the world lines of objects take the paths they do can best be understood by compressing our normal 3 dimensional space into 2 dimensions, length and height only. When we throw a stone into the air it rises and falls in a parabolic arc in these two space dimensions. That path in space is a complete mystery until we consider another dimension, time, a dimension we can imagine measured at a right angle to the plane of the 2 space

dimensions. By multiplying the time of the object in flight by the speed of light, we have a three-dimensional coordinate system, not of space but of spacetime. We can then graph the world line of the object. So why does the object fall? It is because spacetime near massive bodies is curved. A remarkable fact is that the curved world lines of all objects in the same gravity field, whether of a thrown ball or fired bullet, have the same radius of curvature. Hence all objects fall with the same acceleration.

To neutralize gravity we must somehow 'straighten' the world lines of objects traveling in curved spacetime. It would be as if we had in our stack of glass panes a bubble, around which the density of glass became less on approach to the bubble. Then the ray of light, when close to the bubble, would refract opposite to the path it would normally take when traveling through the rest of the glass. Similarly, if we could manufacture a 'time bubble' in a gravity field opposite to the time slowing known as gravity, we would have any object embedded in the time bubble isolated from that gravity field.

The Electrical Levitation theory can best be understood by first considering an analogy. Let us imagine a wheel spinning on an arm like a child's propeller toy. The arm also rotates, in a direction opposite to the spinning wheel. We consider the rate of spinning of the wheel from the point-of-view of two observers, one observer stationary on the ground, the other observer rotating with the arm. Obviously the two observers will not see the same rate of spinning on the wheel. Because the arm is rotating opposite the spin of the wheel, its rotation must be subtracted from the rate of wheel spin as seen by the stationary ground observer. This is not true of the observer rotating with the arm, who will see the spin of the wheel as if there were no arm rotation.

The concept is easier to envision with linear motion, such as of a man throwing a ball on a moving train. The velocity of the ball seen by the pitcher on the train will not be the same as its velocity seen by a stationary observer on the ground. As the stationary observer sees it, that velocity will have the train's velocity

subtracted from it if thrown against the train's velocity. It is the same with rotary motion: the velocity of the wheel for the stationary observer is slower because the arm's rotation is subtracted from it.

But suppose the wheel rotation is *the same* for the two observers! Something must be different between them, and that is time. As explained by Special Relativity for linear motion, time is not a universal constant; it differs between observers depending on their relative motion. The property of nature that *is* constant, that produces this relative time difference, is the speed of light. So we must look for a similar constant in nature for a relative time difference between observers in our rotary example. We have one in electron angular momentum, known as electron "spin," $h/4\pi = 5.28 \times 10^{-35} \text{ kg-m}^2/\text{sec}$, where h is Planck's constant. Like the speed of light, this quantity is constant for all observers, whether the observer is on a rotating system or stationary on the ground. Here is the tool for producing our time 'bubble'.

Let us now imagine a series of concentric rings, all rotating in the same plane and in the same direction. Electric current is pushed through these rings in the same direction as the ring rotation. (Current here is considered the flow of electrons, not conventional positive current.) The rotating rings are sandwiched between two magnetic plates, the function of which is to maintain the angular momentum of the current electrons oriented properly with ring rotation.

Analogous to the above example, each electron takes the place of the spinning wheel and the rotating arm is replaced by the rings. Thus, because electron angular momentum is a universal constant, an observer of the electrons in this rotating system will not have the same time as a stationary observer outside it. If each current electron has its "spin" oriented opposite the rotation of the rings, time on the rotating system would run faster than for a stationary ground observer, the same as in empty space relative to the Earth. The rotating system would therefore have the world line of empty space, not that of the

gravity field. Its spacetime would not be curved. Since gravity is a time phenomenon, such a system in a gravity field could not have the behavior of a normal object. This conclusion may seem erroneous considering that ring rotation could never reach relativistically significant velocities, but we have an analogy with magnetism that is caused by a Lorentz contraction of the distance between moving electrons. If we considered the diminutive drift velocity of electrons alone we would never conclude that magnetism was possible, but the effect is *accumulative* over trillions of electrons. The hypothesis here is that the same is possible with the trillions of free electrons oriented with a spinning ring, to produce an accumulative time effect.

We now come to the controversial part of this theory because the above treats electron angular momentum the same as angular momentum of a normal physical object, whereas the electron is a quantum particle and quantum particles have their own realities with bizarre consequences when analogized with our macro universe. For instance, the electron has quantum spin number $1/2$, and such a particle would have 720 degrees in one rotation, not 360. Nevertheless, the electron *does possess* dipole magnetism. It *does behave* as a spinning ball with negative charge. Electrical Levitation is therefore an empirical theory. The property of the electron that gives electron di-pole magnetism cannot be an actual physical rotation, but whatever that quantum property, if it produces di-pole magnetism there is reason to expect it to produce other macro physical phenomena as if it were.

The theoretical finding of General Relativity that time runs slower in a gravitational field was confirmed by the Pound-Rebka experiment in 1959. **It is not that gravity causes time slowing, gravity is time slowing.** Since time and energy are reciprocal, more time on our rotating ring system would mean less energy seen by an observer in that frame of reference than seen by a stationary observer on the ground, the opposite of the red shift of a gravity field. This

energy difference must equal the energy of the mass in a gravity field that is to be levitated, its energy of weight, and lost. Experimenters should therefore be aware that their device might radiate. But this is not a free energy machine. The energy of levitation comes from its magnetic field, and the electron magnetic moment energy turns out to be $V_e = mc^2$ divided by the electrical current, m is the mass to be levitated and c is the speed of light. This is an enormous amount, but becomes practical if enough electrical current can be sent through the rings. That means the electrical resistance of the ring material must be very low. For copper it is not. No material currently exists with sufficiently low electrical resistance at room temperatures, but **superconducting materials** exist that at cryogenic temperatures experience a dramatic loss of electrical resistance.

An experimental device can therefore be envisioned using a superconducting disc substituting for the electric rings. The disc would serve as a conductor for an electric current and would therefore need to be sliced along one radius with an insulator placed in the notch. Both edges of the notch would be connected to a power source by brushes rotating with the disc. With a counterclockwise disc rotation as seen from the top, to have clockwise electron "spin" the magnetic field between the plates would have to be up. Only the moving free electrons of the current will be available for any time alteration effect. These will also **produce a magnetic field, which it is reasonable to assume would be of the same time alteration effect as the electrons, thus producing the required alternate time "bubble"**.

The resources required for an experiment using superconducting material at cryogenic temperatures are beyond this writer's means and an experiment has not been attempted, but an experiment at the Tampere University, Finland, 1992, using a superconducting disc suggests that gravity shielding is possible. Owing to the immense advantages gravitational shielding would give to the present interest in space exploration, effort toward its development would be logical. This essay may offer clues on how to begin.

Editor: I find the article by Wane McLeod quite interesting as his approach is very similar to the idea I first presented in my report at the "Space, Time and Gravitation" Conference which took place in St. Petersburg in 1998. The report was included into The Proceedings of the Conference, Part I, 1999. Before that the brief version of the article was published in English in the 'ELECTRIC SPACECRAFT' magazine, Leicester, North Carolina 28748 USA, Issue 27, 1997 p.30-31.

I managed to demonstrate that the ideas of Thomas T. Brown, especially his USA patent # 3,187,206 of 1965, are something more than just the force asymmetry in the electric capacitor. According to the concept presented in my report, by creating a matter property gradient (in particular, the dielectric permittivity gradient) we actually change the curvature of the electric force line in space. Normally, the natural space curvature accounts for the electric field potential decrease with the distance increase from the surface of the charged object. By creating the dielectric permittivity gradient (described by T.T. Brown in his patent of 1965) we change the natural distance potential gradient law. We can both increase and decrease this change and even reverse it. With the dielectric permittivity change square function, the natural space curvature is completely compensated and with more extent it is reversed and can be turned to negative. At that rate, the potential is not decreased but increased with the increase of the distance from the surface of the charged object. This is the essence of my concept that has never been considered before by any author. By creating the gradient described by the quartic function we get the same distance potential gradient law as in the natural conditions only with a different sign!

T.T. Brown, who discovered a force in capacitors with a special dielectric, offered the practical application of this concept.

However, he did not find the source of this force, which, according to my concept, is conditioned by two factors: the corresponding dielectric property gradient function and the elastic properties of the dielectric material, which is of no less significance. Normally, dielectric particles are attracted to the charged surface but when the reversion of the curvature of electric field force lines occurs, they are repulsed from it and we can use this propulsion force. Elastic deformations (including those occurring during the pulsating operation of the field) account for the reaction forces equivalent to the generated propulsion force. Thus, the momentum conservation law is in action, however, the device is still moving.

T.T. Brown also considered other ways of generating a force, such as the material magnetic property gradient. Logically, by analogy with the electric field we can consider the gravity field, which can be "designed" and "reversed" by means of creating a matter with the density gradient. At that rate, the gravity potential must change in accordance with a certain law when the distance from the gravity field source being increased.

We should also note that the local space volume levitation effect with the accelerated or decelerated time rate was first described in my articles "Physical Principles of the Time Machine", NET #3 (6), May-June 2002 and "Practical Application of the Time Rate Control (TRC) Theory", NET #3, November-December 2001.

Our company conducts experimental researches on creating new materials that possess the properties described. We are interested in serious business contacts with companies in the aerospace industry to further discuss eventual cooperation projects in this field, including *co-patenting*.

Alexander V. Frolov

