

# Conceptual Hurdles to New Millennium Physics

Donald Reed

E-mail: torsionpower@yahoo.com

## Abstract

Time, space, energy and mass form the four-fold conceptual basis for gauging physical reality. The following paper, as a follow-up to a previous exposition [1] which focused on the necessity to change the current paradigm for time, continues in this same vein, but will also consider in greater depth the interrelations of this phenomenon with the other three yardsticks cited above. Again, information garnered from a wide variety of sources will be considered. We hope to provide, through just such a unique eclectic format, the beginnings of a possible fresh understanding of the workings of nature and perhaps ultimately furnish a conceptual basis for extending the structure of current physical theory to compatibly encompass the elements of a unified framework of physics and metaphysics.

## Introduction

In the former article cited above, by investigating the following varied sources and research [2-17], we came to the inescapable conclusion that the phenomena of time and space are considerably more intimately related than is currently suspected by modern science, and will require a drastic re-working to fit the conclusions of the various evidence cited. Towards this end, the adoption of a more expansive paradigm for these yardsticks was suggested, which incorporates a fluid-field nature for time and space where both are derivatives of the fundamental ground-form of energy in flux or oscillation. These new approaches involve the inclusion of a Kozyrev-type of "substantial"(active) time-flow as opposed to the conventional "relational"(passive) concept of time, where it is used as a static parameter signifying duration. The substantial aspect of time presupposes that it is an essence which can and does affect physical processes, and that those same physical systems can cause a reverse action on time [8]. Such active fluctuating (deformable) types of time or space, implies the establishment of a revolutionary notion: positing completely *non-scalable* metrics for both time and space. This is in direct contrast to all contemporary orthodox models for physical reality, either in Einsteinian relativity (Special or General theory), quantum field theory, or even superstring/supersymmetric theory, all of which continue to consider both of these yardsticks from their relational (length or durational) standpoints. Consequently, all these formalisms require scalable metrics of some sort for their proper description (for refreshing viewpoints on this matter, see [7]). For instance, in the standard equations of quantum theory, time is regarded merely as an unchangeable static parameter. When we later consider applying these novel substantial aspects of time to the edifice of quantum theory, we will see that time must then become a "hidden variable". It will be seen that by considering time in this manner, a clearer and more tractable explanation of the inevitable probabilistic aspects of

quantum theory, evidenced in the signature Uncertainty Principle, complementarity and non-locality will arise, without having to invoke the counter-productive and ill-conceived Copenhagen Interpretation.

## Oscillatory Models for Time and Space

Upon accepting non-scalable metrics for time and space, we observe that a similar field nature for **energy** and **mass** must in turn also be postulated. Moreover, from the Killick description of how (sub-atomic) tachion-pairs operate [6], shuttling their energy back-and-forth in a free-wheeling but purposeful manner and creating oscillatory features of time and space by their action, we should consider the possibility of another unprecedented concept: a "value-motivated" energy might be at the foundation of the structural integrity of physical matter. This is conceivable when considering Killick's description of the tachion-pair dynamic/evolutionary cycle as necessarily including the 3-step process of observation – reflection – action (**trinitivity** of motion). Along the same lines, from the Smith book **The New Science** [5], we learn that a so-called "tempic field" energy exists, which could be described as the parent structure out of which our standard conception of "clock-time" (entropy changes) results. The tempic field is essentially a scalar (but not static) function which has vectorial nature only in terms of its distribution or *gradient* in space. Because of the nature of the tempic field, its derivatives – the electric and magnetic fields can operate on each other in a specific geometric/topological manner, to produce a local change in the *time-frame* of matter, to use a term coined by the entity Kryon [4]. This theoretical description of the alteration of inertial/gravitational mass and time-frame has possibly seen recent actual demonstration in the dramatic experiments of John Hutchison [10] and Rudolf Zinsser's "kinetobaric" effect [11], as well as unpublished research of both Wilbert Smith and Ken Killick with electric caduceus-wound coils [5,6].

## New Models for Relativistic-Fluidic Vacuum-Structure and Possibility of its Manipulation

All of this evidence allows us to conclude that measurable changes in relativistic parameters of time, space, mass and energy might not only be a feature accompanying rapid uniform movement of physical objects (Special Relativity), or representative of large gravitating astrophysical objects (General Relativity). Indeed, by relying on current limited paradigms, contemporary physics may have missed ascertaining the possibility of being able to alter these same relativistic parameters by the artificial technological manipulation of the tempic (vacuum) fields of sub-atomic particles in stationary matter, by use of specific electromagnetic fields. Tom Bearden, for one, has articulated on these various "futuristic"-type technologies in his many writings over the years [15]. We have recently seen that some of the novel theoretical conceptions of the more visionary physicists such as David Hestenes on the zitterbewegung (vacuum "jitter") phenomenon exhibited by the electron [12-14], has finally caught up with some of these advanced ideas by presenting new mathematical demonstrations (using geometric Clifford-algebraic) manipulations of the Dirac equation. In summary, the Hestenes' study concludes that the Dirac wave function and its properties, including

the Dirac equation and relations to physical observables such as energy-momentum, spin and position probability current, all possess heretofore obscured important geometric relations. These results imply that probabilistic features of the quantum theory of the electron/positron arise principally from the electromagnetic interaction of the accompanying zitterbewegung-spin field of these particles with the ambient dynamic vacuum. This is, of course, in direct contrast to the conventional received view that ascribes wave-particle duality as a property of matter that is completely independent of the nature of its interactions. This revolutionary geometric interpretation of electron dynamics incorporates in its model an electron spin which arises from a helical or spiral world-line in space-time. The essential unprecedented feature of the Hestenes' zitterbewegung idea is the association of the spin with the local circulatory-helical motion characterized by the *phase* of the electron wave function. Thus, we reach the conclusion that the complex phase factor of the electron wave function can be directly associated with an objective helical motion of the electron which is, in turn, a derivative of the zitterbewegung. One intriguing feature of this structure is a frequency of oscillation that is inversely proportional to the scalar radius of curvature of the particles' helical world-line. Moreover, the Clifford-algebraic analysis reveals that this oscillation frequency is identical to electron/positron *mass*, revealing a possible key variable particle mass-energy (*frequency measure*), which is in inverse relationship to particle size. It is clear that this new model has important classical implications not yet considered by established physics which nevertheless directly correspond to some of the key features of subatomic behavior enumerated above and previously. Here, we refer to the tachion-pair dynamics [1,6], and also to the microscopic dynamics of elementary particles revealed by the selected esoteric sources previously considered [1-5].

Another researcher who echoes many of these conclusions is C. Sano [21]. Sano built on the work of A.P. Smirnov [22] who postulated that Newton's Third Law of action/reaction actually modeled "screw" structures, implying that elementary particles (specifically electrons/positrons) possess chiral-spiral field configurations. Accordingly, Sano posited that all actions/reactions are transmitted between actors and reactors by parallel or perpendicular clutching of the rotating chains of electromagnetic spirals of the hidden electrons and positron-pairs of the vacuum. Also, similar to the Hestenes' development cited above, Sano postulated that the radius of the outer electromagnetic spiral surrounding the electron/positron pair can change, oscillating in size around either particle, and thus producing the particles' electric or magnetic character. Supporting his theory, Sano cites the key research of I.M. Shakhporanov [23] whose experiments claimed that magnetic monopoles were generated, by employing an electrical circuit based upon Moebius band topology. Some of the unusual phenomena demonstrated by this apparatus, tending to support the magnetic monopole hypothesis, was transformation of diamagnetic substances into paramagnetic, the ferromagnetization of normally non-magnetizable materials (graphite, etc.), acceleration of chemical reactions, acceleration or deceleration of the decay of radioactive materials, etc. Sano also claimed that

extraction of energy from the vacuum was possible using rotational action/reaction using magnetic monopoles.

Referencing this research to the current exposition, we recall that the key element of Killick's hypothetical tachion-pair operation was the similar non-linear/non-orientable Moebius-type dynamic cycle which results in the corresponding deformation (compression or rarefaction) of time/space/energy parameters [1,6]. In this regard, the remarkable similarity of this model to the research of Sano and Shakhporanov may be much more than mere coincidence. In fact, later we shall examine new research outlining an apparatus (yet untested), which claims to overcome the Coulomb barrier in low energy nuclear reactions (LENR), and achieves nuclear fusion by producing local time-dilation of soft photons in proximity of the deuteron reactants.

### Additional Key Russian Research

To motivate the reader's sensibilities towards consideration of some of these unorthodox ideas, we defer to the previous article [1] in which many of these notions and mentioned research are explored in greater depth, as well as consider the following corroborative research.

One intriguing example of such experiments is the work of V. Chernobrov, from which he has claimed to demonstrate both acceleration and deceleration of local time-rate, within a small spherical enclosed volume conducted since 1988 [18]. The experimental system to produce these effects, was a set of electromagnets, connected in series and parallel and installed inside the globe-shaped surface in several layers. In various arrangements including up to 3 to 5 of such layers, these electromagnetic working surfaces (EWS) of various diameters were installed inside each other (similar to the Russian toy doll "matrioshka") with the maximum EWS diameter was about 1 meter, and the minimum (internal) diameter of 115 mm. With this configuration, Chernobrov claimed to measure small but detectable deceleration (-30 sec/hr.) and acceleration (+30 sec/hr.) of time within the sphere to time monitored outside its field of influence. One interesting difference was noted between the slowing down vs. the speeding up of time. The deceleration occurred considerably more smoothly and steadily whereas with acceleration, sharp discontinuous jumps were observed. These instabilities accompanying time-acceleration was observed in connection with cycles of the moon, diurnal fluctuations, and also operator presence. Chernobrov also noticed another phenomenon that also was reported in connection with the alleged legendary Philadelphia experiment, where matter in different time-frames apparently exhibited strange relative optical phenomena [see 1,4]. Specifically, the human eye in the time-frame exterior to the experiment perceives matter within the time-frame created by the apparatus as either transparent or surrounded by a vague white mist. We note with interest that transparent "shimmering" effects of substances in the target area were also occasionally a feature of Hutchison effect [10].

Academician A. Chernetsky produced what he termed a self-generating discharge (SGD) in a plasma that exhibited longitudinal energy density waves from a

structured vacuum. Some unusual effects noted were over-unity energy generation (C.O.P. > 1), as well as change of the electrical conductivity of matter (reduction of the resistance of resistor) placed between the capacitor plates of the SGD. A local structured vacuum was definitely produced since the resistance value remained unchanged even when the generator was turned off. Here reference must be made to the Kozyrev experiments [1,8], in which a type of similar *memory* process was activated ostensibly within the vacuum. Specifically, it turned out that in experiments with a vibrating torsion balance (or pendulum), at points of support the emerging additional forces did not disappear when the irreversible process (vibration) was stopped, but remained in the system for an appreciable time. The SGD plasma device may have also produced a detectable change in local time-flow rate as evidenced by decrease in frequency of a quartz oscillator placed in the discharge of the generator. The Chernetsky generator thus possibly caused anomalies in time-rate at a local space position. There were also basically anecdotal phenomena where psychic ability of personnel in proximity to the SGD was apparently enhanced [19]. The latter effects are not inconceivable once the intimate connection of consciousness to space and time is ascertained, from consideration of not only hypothetical "value-motivated" tachion-pair dynamics, but from the more prosaic studies as we shall see next.

### Pathological Studies in Time-Perception

We can motivate further understanding for the claimed intimate connection of time to space by considering the results of a recent study of patients possessing a disjointed sense of time by Metod Saniga of the Slovak Academy of Sciences [20]. Saniga discovered the brain is hard-wired to perceive space and time as interconnected. Specifically, time pathology is apparently always accompanied by space pathology, in a sense that space either loses dimensions or acquires other dimensions. To quote Saniga "When time seems to stop, people often feel as if space becomes 2-dimensional. On the other hand, when the subject feels they perceive the past, present and future all at once, they simultaneously have the impression that space has infinite dimensions". This phenomenon is apparently not culturally endemic since Saniga illustrates that both pathologies cross cultural lines, evidencing similar studies cited from Italian, German and English psychological journals. In his report, Saniga combined mathematical models ("pencil-conics") and pathology reports of schizophrenic, drug-induced and other abnormal perceptions of time. His current work also encompasses studies of near-death experiences. He found that most of those who claim to have allegedly crossed over to the "other side" and back, tell similar tales. For that brief moment of near-death, the universal subjective experience of all individuals in this state of consciousness is that time loses its meaning. Although such evidence can at best be considered as anecdotal, since subjective conscious experience transcends the possibility of scientific proof, nevertheless perhaps these results from pathological and near-death studies also give us a hint towards new conceptions of time and space that necessitate both phenomena to be inextricably linked.

### Investigation of the Possible Internal Properties of Time

One inescapable conclusion that results from all the above varied studies, either scientifically or psychologically based, and which cries out for future experimental verification, is the possible existence of an internal structure for time. Such a structure also implies the necessity for constructing a new edifice for physical reality that incorporates non-scalable metrics for the four fundamental yardsticks. We shall first investigate supporting evidence for internal time structure and subsequently the various implications of space, time, energy or mass that is non-scalable.

First, accepting an internal time structure, we are led to conclude that in quantum theory, time must then attain "hidden variable" status. One recent study that brilliantly articulates this notion, is the thought-provoking paper by X. Chen [24]. By positing 3 time variables as quantum hidden variables, Chen derives the Dirac equation classically. Moreover, he demonstrates that the non-intuitive property of "spin" of an electron or positron, arises naturally as a topological property of 3-dimensional time + 3-dimensional space. By extrapolating from this model, Chen then concludes that the inherent probabilistic aspects of quantum theory itself, as evidenced in wave-particle duality, Uncertainty Principle, quantum non-locality ("entangled" particles), etc., can be interpreted as the behavior of a single particle in 3 + 3 time-space. Chen postulates that the three dimensions of time geometrically form a "time sphere", with a generic point on the sphere possessing the following 3 coordinates: time radius, and two "time angles". The mathematical formalism arising from the process of stereographic projection from the north pole of this sphere, having radius of one-half, to any point on the spherical surface, incorporates both the positive-energy (north hemisphere) and negative-energy (south hemisphere) solutions to the Dirac equation (see Fig. 1 for clarification; note Z is a four-component spinor wave function). Also, by considering the evolution of a single particle, from the standpoint of this model of internal time-space, we can derive a picture of different paths on the time sphere of different weights, and on each path causality is satisfied. Through this process, Chen derives a purely classical explanation of the originally quantum-mechanically canonized Feynman Path Integral concept. This result emerges since each path from the time-sphere center to the surface corresponds to each Feynman path, and the surface of the sphere corresponds to the "surface" of the wave function. Chen also classically explains the processes inherent to Bose-Einstein-condensation (BEC) and superconductivity with this model. In such phenomena, two particles with the same spatial coordinates, cannot possess the same time angle, and thus will not have any interaction with each other and occupy the same quantum state as evidenced in BEC and superconductivity. Finally, Chen's solutions of the Dirac equation for a free particle correspond to Hopf bundles in monopole theory, and each Hopf fiber corresponds to each plane wave with different momentum states. This picture corresponds to wave packet diffusion in quantum theory. When a particle is in a fixed momentum state, each space point can contain only one Hopf bundle (one time angle), and various different time angles will be distributed in the whole

space but with the same Hopf bundle. Consequently, that particle can be found everywhere. This picture appears to describe the Uncertainty Principle classically using 3 + 3 dimensional time-space. Along similar lines R. Kiehn [25] has also recently underscored the important but overlooked classical connection between spinors, minimal surfaces and the Hopf map.

Recently, Chen's work has been further developed by H. Kitada [26] who, by introducing both 3-dimensional time and energy operators, sheds new classical light on the uncertainty relation that holds between these two parameters as well. Moreover, Chen is not the only researcher to derive the Dirac equation classically. R.A. Close has published a paper which presents a classical mathematical description of circularly-polarized waves in 3-dimensions [27]. It turns out that these chiral space waves are satisfied by a four-component wave function which satisfies a Dirac equation. Furthermore, much like the Hestenes' zitterbewegung interpretation of quantum theory, the term normally associated with electron mass in the Close equation, introduces a rotation or oscillation frequency of the propagation direction.

Classical models for nuclear processes have also recently been proposed which incidentally also imply internal time structure. Notable among these, C. Cagle claims to have developed a fusion energy device employing LENR based upon such a classical theory [28]. Normally, Deuterium nuclei are diffuse in momentum space (having high relative velocities). The process Cagle outlines produces a compactification of their momentum space (low relative velocities), so that the deuterons develop a common de Broglie wavelength that is greater than or equal to the inter-particle distance. This is claimed to be accomplished by passing soft x-ray photons near the region of two deuterons in a lattice structure of Lithium Deuteride. **The soft x-ray, when absorbed, produces a region of total time-dilation, causing two effects: first, ionization of atoms by strongly repelling any associated electrons in the area, and secondly and most importantly, the physical extent of the time-dilation causes two adjacent normally repelling Deuterium nuclei to overlap in a common momentum space; that is, their relative velocities achieve very low values.** Consequently, due to the time dilation, for a very short time their common de Broglie wavelength exceeds their inter-particle distance. Thus, they become strongly attractive and undergo nuclear fusion.

Cagle also claims to clarify misconceptions about the fusion process in a thermonuclear bomb. He maintains that it is not due to extreme kinetic energies (high temperatures) of particles as supposed in current paradigms, but due to nuclear processes caused by absorption of a time-dilated soft photon flux, produced by Compton scattering of hard x-rays from a fission igniter, passing through a foil of depleted Uranium. Again, just like the controlled nuclear fusion process, this causes overlapping of deuterons in momentum space (low kinetic energies) for a few pico seconds, and subsequent fusion with normal exothermal processes ensuing.

Furthermore, as a fundamental component of his LENR fusion device, which ostensibly produces time dilation and controls energy production from the fusion process, Cagle incorporates a topological field structure termed

an electromagnetoroid. The toroidal field current oscillates between toroidal and poloidal modes in a dynamic cycle which is amazingly virtually identical to Killick's description of the oscillation dynamics of a single unit toroidal tachion [1,6](also see Cagle's website for animated simulation).

Regarding dynamics of astrophysical structures, Cagle further claims that the stellar jet core star of HH30 is also a gigantic electromagnetoroid. During the poloidal current mode, vast quantities of matter are produced and ejected along the poloidal axis when the mode changes from poloidal to toroidal. This leads one to consider the possibility that the source of superluminal gamma ray bursters, which have heretofore mystified astrophysicists and defied explanation in terms of current paradigms, might be the result of large-scale abrupt changes in the time-frame of celestial vacuum regions, subsequently causing a boost in light velocity.

### Implications of Non-scalable Metrics

When postulating fluid-field natures for the four yardsticks of physical reality: time, space, energy and mass, we must assume the existence of a *non-scalable* metric for our vacuum structure. Such a non-scalable vacuum, absent of a defined reference frame, must of necessity possess a *dual* nature. That is, the primary feature of a dual vacuum structure implies that infinitely small quantities must be treated on an equal footing with those that are infinitely large. In other words, information encoded into infinitesimal elements, is also instantly present in the unbounded infinite extents as well. This is tantamount to the existence of a holographic information encoding and transmitting vacuum field, which would structure events in space and time as a non-Markovian chain. In a non-Markovian chain of events, the prediction about the next link in the chain, requires a knowledge of **all** links, not just the one preceding it.

Metod Saniga's research into mystical perceptions of superconscious reality appears to support such a primordial non-Markovian holographic mapping of time and space. To quote from a transcript of such a vision: "I wake up in a whole different world...a different space...This space was distinct from the one we all know. It had different dimensions, everything contained everything else. One was situated in a state of being in which the 'will be' (future) and the 'vanishing' (past) were already included, and this being was my consciousness. It contained it all. The 'being contained' was present very vividly in a geometric way in the form of circles of different sizes which again were all part of a unity since all of the circles formed exactly one circle. The biggest circle was a part of the smallest and vice versa." [20].

Such a structure of time and space can best be topologically encoded in a non-orientable structure such as the Moebius band or Klein bottle. Similar to the data presented in the above mystical revelations and in the previously treated Killick tachion-pair dynamics [1,5], in such configurations "inside" and "outside" lose their meanings and meld into one another; ordinary dichotomic relations distinguishing thesis from antithesis are sublated and supplanted by a higher unity defining the evolutionary process of the system. In the

mathematical edifice abstracted from such a vacuum structure, duality rather than exclusivity of field structures holds sway. This in turn, presupposes a static-dynamic triality of fundamental field structures, characterized by the three magnitudes: zero, infinity and the mediating factor of unity, the latter being an indication that each of the other two field extremes are in perfect balance. Accordingly, we will assume that the stability (coherency) of non-scalable fields results whenever more than half their reality is in common.

Further development pertaining to a specific algebraic-geometric structure that would inherently incorporate this field model, will be carried out in a future paper. Nevertheless, the interested reader can skirmish on this frontier by consulting the relevant recent references to a Clifford-algebraic structure which encodes the projective duality of "space" and "counterspace" into a model for mechanics on the quantum level [29]. This promising model accounts classically for the phenomenon of quantum non-locality. It also heralds a new version of quantum field theory which, by treating electron-positron pairs as topologically non-orientable, is free of the detrimental divergences in self energy and charge, thus obviating the necessity for the ad hoc prescription of renormalization so endemic to current theory [30].

However, due to the limited scope of the current treatment, here we shall only make general comments on the significance of the above-mentioned concept of field triality. Although this model may appear foreign to current physical theory, we underscore that exactly such a system was delineated by W. Smith in the book, *The New Science* [5]. Observing, as we have [1], that this book in some parts of its exposition can cause confusion, we carefully choose the following selected passages that are most instructive in clarifying the notion of non-scalable metrics and in pointing the way to new paradigms (my comments in parentheses). General comment on non-scalable metrics: "Unity is half way between zero and infinity and always remains the fulcrum about which all other values regardless of scale pivot". On the electric field: "Between the two limits of zero and infinity and through unity there is divergence which we recognize as the electric field, but with the exception that there is no point charge at zero. Our awareness establishes the charge at radius unity with exactly half of it being 'inside' and the other half being 'outside'". On tempic field dynamics: "The tempic field being purely scalar in nature merely contributes to the manner in which changes can occur in the system. If, however, through some characteristic of configuration, the tempic field within a composite particle displays some irregularity, this will manifest as a 'vibration', and if the magnitude of the vibration is sufficient as to cause the interpenetrating fields to exceed the half-and-half point, the whole system will come apart: radioactivity. When a system does come apart, those field which were coherent and interpenetrating in the correct proportion will remain so and take off down the tempic field gradient and become radiated energy". On velocity of light/Planck's constant: "Within the universe we perceive, we are reasonably satisfied that the maximum value of all the coherent fields involved in our particles and radiated energy have the same value. Planck's constant is the numerical expression of this value, and the velocity of light is the expression of the numerical value of the (local) tempic field intensity". On nuclear fusion: "If a region is selected in which there

are two fields of the same kind, same magnitude, same direction, such that very nearly half the reality of each is within the region, then the two fields are just on the verge of becoming coherent. If the fields are not coherent, the total energy in the region is the sum of the energies of the two fields; i.e., twice the square of the field intensity of each integrated over the region, or twice the energy of the two fields incoherent. This represents the 'packing energy' of bits and pieces of atomic nuclei, and also points the way to the precipitation of energy out of the cosmic background (vacuum engineering)". Notice how this description, written 45 years ago, of how a tempic field gradient (time-stress) operates between atomic nuclei to produce coherency (half-in half-out condition), perfectly parallels the recent Cagle view, which claims the fusion process takes place only as a function of time-dilation [28]. Finally, on gravitation: "With the exception of skew electric fields (electric field possessing tempic field gradient) all the other fields of an aggregate mass may be considered as static because they have no component of the tempic field at right angles to them. The skew fields (spiral-helical?) on the other hand, are dynamic because they do have a quadrature tempic field component. Furthermore, skew fields are largely incoherent (gravitation cannot normally be shielded) simply because the usual almost random orientation precludes their meeting the half-in requirement of form to become coherent. Since the gravitational field is due to the skew electric field, or stated differently, to the induction from the 'motional magnetic field' (longitudinally moved magnetic field), this is the logical region to explore for the mechanism by which fields may be produced to combine with the gravitational field (of Earth) to produce a resultant more to our liking (alteration of local gravitational potential)".

This last quote describes the gravitational field of a mass as a residual field phenomenon, similarly to the Sakharov and Puthoff conclusions which attribute gravitational and inertial mass to the interaction of accelerated or gravitating matter with the zero-point-vacuum-fluctuations (ZPF) causing a vacuum reaction force [31]. Moreover, similar to the Hestenes' claims, in their recent papers [32], Haisch/Rueda/Dobyns propose that, via this new interpretation of inertial mass as an acceleration-dependent electromagnetic (Lorentz) force, that a former postulate of quantum mechanics appears to be derivable classically via the interpretation of rest mass as the energy of the ZPF driven zitterbewegung; that is, the de Broglie wavelength of a moving particle, may be derived from Doppler shifts of the Compton frequency oscillations associated with zitterbewegung that occurs when a particle is placed in motion.

For further studies of physical theories with non-scalable metrics, the reader is directed to the excellent work of A.A. Nassikas [16]. Like few theories hitherto postulated, Nassikas posits a fundamental probability density function for vacuum energy, out of which oscillatory-deformable sub-atomic level physical aspects of time and space then emerge. This is the reverse to most contemporary paradigms, which view energy as a derivative of matter, time and space, even at the quantum level. Finally, A. Frolov has used Nassikas' theory to explain over-unity energy generation that has been demonstrated in some LENR electrolytic cells of the Pons-Fleischmann variety [17].

*Editor: Actually Prof. Nassikas wrote in this patent that the idea which is described in the patent was proposed by Alexander Frolov. In 1996 these questions were discussed by us in Saint-Petersburg. Specifically, a Palladium cathode over-saturated with protons produces an imbalance of the vacuum engine in this area, with an accompanying change in the local time-rate and subsequent breakdown in the Coulomb barrier of the nuclei as per schemes articulated by C. Cagle [28] and T. Bearden [15].*

## Conclusions

In this journey through the latest research and speculations involving new interpretations of the four physical yardsticks: time, space, energy and mass, we hope the reader and technical specialists/theorists have been inspired to continue research along the lines suggested in the above dissertation. In this regard, certain open-ended questions present themselves that focus squarely on the viability of technological future developments in the new energy field. First, could the missing element in our eventual understanding of all devices featuring documented over-unity energy generation, change in mass (gravitational potential), LENR-based transmutation of elements and the amelioration of radioactivity, etc., be due the alteration of local time-flow in sub-atomic vacuum fields within the apparatus? Secondly, could the frustrating feature of the capriciousness of over-unity power and the like to yield to testable replication in many cases, be due to currently unperceived uncontrollable aspects of time and the vacuum which must be addressed in order to solve these problems? Thirdly, could incorporation of non-orientable topological structures such as the Moebius band, Klein bottle, etc. in new models of electrodynamic field structure, be instrumental in finding the answers to both of the previous questions? With sufficient probing for possible answers to such questions, the present author has the firm belief that new paradigms are certain to soon result that will crystallize these thoughts into viable scientific hypotheses subject to experimental testable verification. As an offshoot and definite bonus of this process, possibly a new more expansive understanding of the role of the vacuum in both nature and consciousness will be in the offing.

## References

1. D. Reed, "A New Paradigm for Time: Evidence from Empirical and Esoteric Sources", Explore!-for the Professional, vol. 4/5 July/Sept., 2002.
2. J. Roberts, unpublished remarks as transcribed by W.H. Kautz.
3. J. Roberts, *The Seth Material*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1970, pp. 292-298.  
J. Roberts, *The "Unknown" Reality*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1986.
4. L. Carroll, *Alchemy of the Human Spirit (Kryon Book III)*, The Kryon Writings, 1995.
5. L. Carroll, *Letters From Home (Kryon Book VI)*, The Kryon Writings, 1998.
6. L. Carroll, *Passing the Marker (Kryon Book VIII)*, The Kryon Writings, 2000.
7. W. Smith, *The New Science*, Fern-Graphic Pub., 1964.
8. D. Reed & K. Killick, "Tachion Energy Theory", *Energy Unlimited*, 1978-1983.
9. A. Correa & P. Correa, "Consequences of the Null Result of the Michelson-Morley Experiment: The Demise of the Stationary Aether, the Rise of Special Relativity and the Heuristic Concept of the Photon", *Infinite Energy*, no. 38, July-Aug. 2001, pp. 47-64.
10. A. Correa & P. Correa, "The Sagnac and Michelson-Gale Experiments", *Infinite Energy*, no. 39, Sept.-Oct. 2001, pp. 32-49.
11. N. Kozyrev, "On the Possibility for the Experimental

12. Investigation of the Properties of Time", *Time in Science and Philosophy*, Prague, 1971, pp. 111-132.
13. N. Kozyrev, "An Unexplored World", *Soviet Life*, 1965.
14. A.P. Levich, *On the Way to Understanding the Time Phenomenon (Part II: The Active Properties of Time According to N. A. Kozyrev)*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1996.
15. D. Reed, "Torsion Field Research and Implications for New Physics and Energy Technologies", *Jour. New Energy*, vol. 4, no. 2, Fall, 1999, pp. 151-164.
16. J. Hutchison, "The Hutchison Effect Apparatus", *Proc. of the 1<sup>st</sup> Symp. On New Energy*, Denver, May, 1994, p. 199.
17. J. Hutchison, "Inside the Hutchison Effect", *Extraordinary Science*, vol. III, issue 4, Oct-Dec. 1991, pp. 23-26.
18. T. Valone (ed), *Mechanical Energy from Gravitational Anisotropy*, Integrity Research Institute, Washington, DC, 1996.
19. W. Peschka, "Kinetobaric Effect as Basis For a New Propulsion Principle", *Raumfahrt-Forschung*, Feb, 1974 (in German); trans. By D. Reed, *Infinite Energy*, vol. 4, issue no. 22, 1998, pp. 52-56.
20. D. Reed, "Translator's Analysis and Comments on the Zinsser Effect Device", *Infinite Energy*, vol. 4, issue no. 22, 1998, pp. 57-59.
21. D. Hestenes, "Quantum Mechanics from Self-Interaction", *Found. Phys.*, vol. 15, no. 1, 1985, pp. 63-87.
22. D. Hestenes, "The Zitterbewegung Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics", *Found. Phys.*, vol. 20, no. 10, 1990, pp. 1213-1232.
23. D. Hestenes, "Zitterbewegung Modeling", *Found. Phys.*, vol. 23, no. 3, 1992, pp. 365-387.
24. T. Bearden, *Extracting and Using Electromagnetic Energy from the Aether Vacuum*, Association of Distinguished American Scientists, Huntsville, Alabama, 2000.
25. T. Bearden, "Giant Negentropy in the Common Dipole", *Proc IC-2000, St. Petersburg, Russia*, 2000(in Press)
26. A.A. Nassikas, "The Hypothesis of the Unified Field and the Principle of Its Dual Interpretation", *Proc. Of III Int. Conf. On Problems of Space Time and Gravitation*, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia, 1996.
27. A. Frolov, "The Work Created by Means of a Potential Field", *Proc of III Int. Conf. On Problems of Space Time and Gravitation*, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia, 1996.
28. V. Chernobrov, "Experiments on the Change of the Direction and Rate of Time Motion", *Proc. Of III Int. Conf. On Problems of Space Time and Gravitation*, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia, 1996.
29. A.V. Chernetsky, "Processes in Plasma Systems with Electric Charge Division", *Deutsche Vereinigung fur Schwerkraft-Feld Energie e. V.* no. 25, Mar. 1991, no. 27, Feb. 1992.
30. M. Saniga, "Unveiling the Nature of Time-Altered States of Consciousness and Pencil-Generated Space-Times", *Int. Jour. Of Transdisciplinary Studies*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 8-17.
31. C. Sano, "Twisting and Untwisting of Spirals of Aether and Fractal Vortices Connecting Dynamic Aethers", *Jour. New Energy*, vol. 6, no. 2, Fall 2001, pp. 178-184.
32. A.P. Smirnov, "Hyper-Physics - Physics of Reality", *Kneeling Before the Truth*, pp. 62-100, *Materials of the 2nd Int. Scientific Clarification Conf.-2000, St. Petersburg, Russia*,(2000).
33. I.M. Shakhparonov, "Interaction of Kozyrev-Dirac Emanation Methods of Detection and Interaction with Matter", *Proc. Of III Int. Conf. On Problems of Space Time and Gravitation*, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg, Russia, 1996, pp. 175-187.
34. X. Chen, *A New Interpretation of Quantum Theory - Time as a Hidden Variable*, Apr. 2001, [xxx.lanl.gov/abs/quant-ph/9902037](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/quant-ph/9902037).
35. R. Kiehn, *Spinors, Minimal Surfaces, Torsion, Helicity, Chirality, Spin, Twistors, Orientation, Continuity, fractals, Point Particles, Polarization, the Light Cone and the Hopf Map*, [www.uh.edu/~rkiehn](http://www.uh.edu/~rkiehn).
36. H. Kitada, *Three Dimensional Time and Energy Operators and an Uncertainty Relation*, July 200, [xxx.lanl.gov/abs/quant-ph/0007028](http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/quant-ph/0007028).
37. R.A. Close, "Torsion Waves in Three Dimensions: Quantum Mechanics with a Twist", *Found. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 15, no. 1, Feb. 2002, pp. 71-83.
38. C. Cagle, (2001), [www.singtech.com/](http://www.singtech.com/).
39. O. Conrath, "Mechanics in Space and Counterspace", *Jour. Math Phys.*, vol. 41, no. 10, 2000, pp. 6995-7028.
40. B. Fauser "Projective Relativity- Present Status and Outlook", *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, vol. 33, 2001, pp. 875-887.
41. H.E. Puthoff, *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 39,(1989), p. 2333. B. Haisch , A. Rueda & H.E. Puthoff, *Phys. Rev. A*, vol 49,(1994), p.678.
42. B. Haisch, A. Rueda & Y. Dobyons, "Inertial Mass and Quantum Vacuum Fields", *Annalen der Physik*, (Sept. 2000).
43. B. Haisch & A. Rueda, "On the Relation Between a Zero-Point-Field-Induced Inertial Effect and the Einstein-de Broglie Formula", *Phys. Lett. A*, vol. 268, (Apr. 2000), pp. 224-227.